TRENDS

- The fitness report is a “Draft Copy” and not the “Original”
- Late fitness reports
- Date gaps (did not use fitness report inventory on MMSB website)
- Sect A
- Adverse reports not referred to MRO for acknowledgement
- The letter “N” is not placed in the “type” block (3c)
- “Commendatory or Derogatory Material” block is marked but not justified in section I
- For GySgts’ the letters “F or M” is not placed in the status block (8c)
- Body fat % is not placed in the “body fat” block (8f)
- Sect C
- Negative and evaluative comments made in Section C (Billet Accomplishments) ie… “Needs to improve…, Lacks the…, etc…”
- Sects D-H
- A, F, or G marking justifications not concrete, substantive, verifiable, or quantitative

PICK ONE!

- SECTION I COMMENTS:
- Violated Art: 86.
- UA from 0700 to 1015 on 20 Jan 2004. Awarded forfeiture of $500.

PICK ONE!

SECTION I COMMENTS:

Disobeyed Article: 91.

Disobeyed order by SNCOIC to perform daily PT while assigned to BCP. Awarded letter of reprimand and forfeiture of $100.

PICK ONE!

- E3 “initiative” – justification for marking of “F”:
- Demonstrated superb initiative in all areas.
- Without being tasked or supervised, created new tracking model that indentified excess vehicle assets, resulting in $20k annual budget savings.

PICK ONE!

- F3 “Setting the Example” – justification for marking of “A”:

- Personal appearance due to weight is unacceptable.
- 15lbs over maximum weight at beginning of period – 2 lbs over at ending date. MRO was assigned to BCP for 8 months of this reporting period – was extended past 6 months slow but steady progress. Personal appearance due to weight is poor.

QUESTIONS??????